



*St Edmundsbury*  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

# **Development Control Committee**

## **1<sup>st</sup> June 2017**

### **Committee Update Report**

#### **Item 7 – DC/17/0397/OUT – Land Adjacent to 3 The Hill, Front Street, Ousden**

##### **Error in the report**

To clarify an error in the report, paragraph no. 4 (page 26) should read as follows;

4. The following applications are located within the housing settlement boundary, between two existing dwellings. Those applications were accompanied by full details that clearly responded to the constraints of the site. As noted later in the report, the application documents refer to these applications.

##### **Further representations made**

Since the close of the agenda and the finalisation of the reports 2no. representations have been made, from the applicant and from no. 19 Rockall.

The applicants representation is as follows:

- As the applicant may I please make this comment on the Suffolk landscape character assessment raised as a reason for refusal the survey for this assessment was carried out 2003-2006 when estate farmland was ie estate owned the fields behind are now been landscaped with planning consent or in private ownership its now 2017 a lot has change since this survey, the nearest estate farmland is behind Whiteshutters over the road the reasons for this being used as a reason to refuse it incorrect

For clarification, the Suffolk Landscape Character Assessment was reviewed and updated in 2011.

The representation from no. 19 Rockall is as follows:

- I have looked at the Ousden draft parish comments referring to this application that is going to be refused and it is known to me that it is going to dc

committee, I wish to make the following comments.

- The property at 3 The Hill is not situated in estate land, far from it, it is 100m approximately away and the edge of such land, White Shutters and Smiths Cottage border the estate land then a road and path, before 3 The Hill.
- with reference to a gap and important views, this could not be further from the truth, from the path all that can be seen is an unkept piece of land that has always been a nursery, a large hedge to the rear of the property excludes any views this is the old windmill track long overgrown and unkept, views from white shutters and smiths cottage are the same, and both think the application will be a benefit and enhance the village.
- with reference to the cluster of housing, all Suffolk villages and indeed most villages in the uk have such structures as a result of the black death. the boundary of 3 the hill joins the boundary of parsons spinney on the same side of the rd, opposite is smiths cottage and white shutters, the boundary of three the hill and parsons spinney join but on opposite sides of the rd, this pattern carries on all the way through the village as far as the fox public house on the same side on the road, in the other direction is 2 the hill and 1, directly opposite the house of 3 the hill, is Birdale Cottage and next to it Westside Cottage, to the rear immediate rear is Mill House and Jurine to the side, to the front of this there are another 3 houses in the dip, then there is a gap to Hall Farm. National policy framework looks to enhance social aspects of the village, with this in mind it can only be of benefit to the village and help the vitality and sustainability, the views of the villagers should therefore be taken into account .